

Modeling solubility of a phosphogypsum-clay liner in contact with different pore liquids

Y. I. S. Borges¹, M. E. G. Boscov², and M. M. A. Mascarenha³

¹PhD student, University of Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil, email: yiborges@usp.br
²Professor, University of Sao Paulo, Sao Paulo, Brazil, email: meboscov@usp.br
³Professor, Federal University of Goias, Goiania, Brazil, email: marciamascarenha@ufg.br

ABSTRACT

Phosphogypsum is a by-product of the fertilizer industry, composed mainly of gypsite, whose suitable behavior in mixtures with soils has encouraged its use as a material for the construction of liners for sanitary landfills. This study investigated, through geochemical modeling, carried out by the PHREEQC software, how the solubility of a phosphogypsum-clay liner is affected by the interaction with water and leachate typical of sanitary landfills. The simulations were carried out assuming a 1 m thick liner subjected to the flow of water and leachate corresponding to the acidic, methanogenic, and mature phases of a sanitary landfill. The results indicated that the dissolution of gypsite was faster in the presence of leachate than in water, with accumulation of precipitated material in the lower layers and increased porosity in the upper layers of the liner. It was estimated that 50% of the gypsum content in the liner would dissolve after 48 pore volume of leachate flow and after 64 pore volume of water flow, as well as a 14% increase in total porosity after complete dissolution of the gypsite, leading to the conclusion that solubility must definitely be taken into account for PG to be employed in liners of sanitary landfills or in geotechnical layers where flow occurs.

Keywords: geochemical modeling, gypsite, dissolution, PHREEQC, sanitary landfill.

1 INTRODUCTION

The exploitation of phosphate rocks is an important source of raw materials for the fertilizer industry, which consumes about 71% of this kind of rock mined all over the world (IAEA, 2013). In this process, represented by the idealized chemical reaction presented in Equation 1 (Hull & Burnett, 1996), the phosphate rock ($Ca_{10}F_2(PO_4)_6$) reacts with sulfuric acid (H_2SO_4), forming two products: phosphoric acid (H_3PO_4), hydrofluoric acid (HF), and one by-product, the phosphogypsum (PG) (CaSO₄.*n*H₂O).

$$Ca_{10}F_2(PO_4)_6 + 10H_2SO_4 + 10nH_2O \rightarrow 6H_3PO_4 + 2HF + 10CaSO_4.nH_2O$$
(1)

In Equation 1, *n* may be 0, 0.5, or 2, depending on the industrial process employed. In the wet process, *n* is equal to 2, producing the di-hydrated form of PG (CaSO_{4.2}H₂O), a material similar to gypsite, except for the presence of impurities, such as fluorides, phosphates, organic matter, heavy metals (Mascarenha et al., 2018), and radionuclides of uranium and thorium (Nisti et al., 2015).

This process generates about 2.5 tons of PG per ton of phosphate rock (Pérez-Moreno et al., 2018) resulting in a global production of 200 million tons of this by-product per year (Saadaoui et al., 2017). In Brazil, 5 million tons of phosphate rock exploited per year (BRAZIL, 2019) generate about 12.5 million tons of PG. Only 15% of the global production of PG is reused – mainly in agriculture – while 85% is disposed in stockpiles and water bodies, representing a potential risk of environmental contamination (IAEA, 2013; Rashad, 2017). To reduce this volume of stocked material, several studies aiming at reuse have been carried out, such as addition in cements, mortars, concretes, and bricks (Rashad, 2017). In geotechnical engineering, PG has been used, especially, for road construction (Amrani et al., 2020; de Rezende et al., 2017; Li et al., 2020; Silva et al., 2019) and backfilling (Chen et al., 2017; Jiang et al., 2018; Li et al., 2020).

The satisfactory hydromechanical behavior of mixtures of Brazilian lateritic soils and di-hydrated PG (Mascarenha et al., 2018; Matos, 2011) has encouraged studies with the aim of using these mixtures as materials for liners of municipal solid waste (MSW) landfills. These studies have focused on the hydraulic conductivity and mechanical strength requirements (Borges, 2019; Mascarenha et al., 2018; Ribeiro et al., 2018). However, the behavior of PG in contact with the chemicals present in MSW leachate, especially in terms of solubility, should also be investigated.

The physical-chemical characteristics of MSW landfill leachates change over the years due to biogeochemical processes that may include redox, dissolution, precipitation, and complexation reactions, in addition to the action of microorganisms that degrade the waste (Souto, 2009). In the early stages, oxygen available in the waste is consumed by aerobic bacteria. However, as the concentration of this gas decreases, the anaerobic conditions promote the growth of fermentative bacteria, which are responsible for the hydrolysis of organic matter and production of acids, alcohols, and carbon dioxide (CO₂). When the anaerobic conditions are fully stablished, this environment becomes conducive to the appearance of acidogenic bacteria, that consume the products of fermentative bacteria and produce carboxylic acids (including acetic acid), hydrogen and CO₂. This low pH environment favors the solubilization of heavy metals and other inorganic substances from the solid phase. This stage is often called the acidogenic or acidic phase and lasts a few months (Alves & Bertolo, 2012; Fannin & Roberts, 2006; Pohland & Harper, 1985).

In the next stage, acetic acid and hydrogen formed in the acidic phase are converted into methane and CO_2 by methanogenic bacteria and, therefore, the leachate pH increases. Under these conditions, metals present in the dissolved phase may precipitate and have their concentration in the leachate reduced. This stage is called the methanogenic phase and may last for several years. Finally, the landfill reaches the mature phase, when the organic materials are almost totally consumed due to the formation of methane and CO_2 ; the leachate presents neutral pH values and low concentrations of organic and inorganic substances (Alves & Bertolo, 2012; Fannin & Roberts, 2006; Pohland & Harper, 1985).

The interaction between liner and pores liquid, from the geomechanical standpoint, is often named compatibility and should be always carefully analyzed, since adverse reactions may cause degradation of the barrier and, consequently, an unexpected release of contaminants to the environment (Shackelford, 1994). This is notably true for soluble materials. For instance, experimental studies carried out with soils containing gypsite demonstrated that the solubility of this mineral was much higher when the soils were percolated by saline solutions than by distilled water, with a significant increase in the void ratios of the samples (Asghari et al., 2018; Azam, 2000; Ismael, 1993).

This study investigated, by means of geochemical modeling, how the solubility of di-hydrated PG is affected by the interaction with water and typical leachates found in MSW landfills, with a view to applying soil-PG mixtures for liner construction.

2 GEOCHEMICAL MODELING

The simulations performed in this study were carried out with PHREEQC version 3, a computer program designed to perform aqueous geochemical calculations, such as speciation and saturation index calculations, batch reactions, one dimensional transport and inverse modeling (Parkhust & Appelo, 2013). The LLNL.DAT thermodynamic database was employed.

PHREEQC was used to investigate how the solubility of PG, in a soil-PG compacted layer (liner), is affected by the flow of water and leachate from sanitary landfills at different biodegradation stages. Matos (2011) tested different compositions for soil-PG mixtures and demonstrated that the best hydromechanical performance was achieved by a mixture containing 90% soil and 10% PG in dry weight. Therefore, this composition was used in the simulations.

When compacted at the Proctor standard effort and optimum water content, this mixture presented a dry unit weight of 15.3 kN/m³ and a porosity of 0.43 (Matos, 2011). Considering that PG is composed of 97.4% gypsite and that gypsite has a molecular mass of 172.2 g/mol, the initial concentration of this mineral (mol/L) in such a soil-PG layer can be calculated according to Equation 2.

 $(1530 \text{ kg}/1000 \text{ L}) \times 10\% \text{ PG} \times 97.4\% \text{ gypsite} \div (0.1722 \text{ kg/mol}) \div 0.43 = 2.012 \text{ mol/L}$ (2)

The dissolution of gypsite can be written according to the following equilibrium equation (3):

$$CaSO_{4.}2H_{2}O \leftrightarrow Ca^{2+} + SO_{4}^{2-} + 2H_{2}O$$
(3)

At 25°C, the equilibrium constant ($K_{gypsite}$) of Equation 3 is given by the solubility product of Equation 4 (Appelo & Postma, 2005):

$$K_{gypsite} = [Ca^{2^+}] + [SO_4^{2^-}] = 10^{-4.60}$$
(4)

The total amount of Ca^{+2} and SO_4^{2-} in solution is equal to the summation of the molalities (m) of all the aqueous complexes of these species with the species in Table 1:

$$\sum Ca^{2+} = m_{Ca^{2+}} + m_{CaHCO3^{+}} + m_{CaSO4^{0}} + m_{CaCl^{+}} + m_{CaCO_3} + m_{CaCl_2} + m_{CaOH^{+}} + m_{CaNO3^{+}}$$
(5)

$$\sum SO_4^{2-} = \frac{m_{SO_4^{2-}} + m_{MgSO_4} + m_{CaSO_4} + m_{FeSO_4} + m_{NaSO_4} - m_{MnSO_4} + m_{ZnSO_4} + m_{KSO_4} - m_{KHSO_4} + m_{KSO_4} - m_{KHSO_4} + m_{KSO_4} - m_{KHSO_4} + m_{KHSO_4} - m_{KHSO_4} -$$

The amount of each specie is calculated iteratively in order to ensure the mass and electrical balances.

The Soil-PG layer was assumed to be 1.0 m thick, divided into ten sublayers (or cells) of 0.1 m each, as shown in Figure 1. The leachate composition was assumed to change over the years according to the landfill phases represented in Table 1. Thus, the liner was sequentially percolated by one pore volume (PV) of solution representing the acidic (AC) phase, 5 PV of solution representing the methanogenic (ME) and, finally, 5 PV of solution representing the mature (MA) phase.

	30101011					
Parameter		AC		ME		MA
	SIM	Reference	SIM	Reference	SIM	Reference
рН	5.8	4.4 – 8.4 ^a	7.8	5.9 – 9.2ª	7.1	7.1 – 8.8 ^b
Total Alkalinity	140.0	140 – 9,650 ^b	2,900.0	760-5,050 ^b	1377.2	200-3,520 ^b
Ammoniacal Nitrogen	12.0	1.7-3,000ª	200.0	$0.5 - 5,000^{a}$	415.0	$6 - 430^{b}$
Nitrate	0.5	Nd – 45ª	0.0	Nd – 270ª	0.0	$0.5 - 0.6^{b}$
Chloride	551.6	275-4700ª	975.5	20-6900 ^a	690.0	30 -5000 ^b
Sulphate	10.0	10 – 3,240 ^b	0.0	Nd ^b	5.0	5 – 40 ^b
Sodium	190.7	20 – 7,600 ^b	910.0	-	455.0	-
Calcium	49.2	10 – 2500°	120.5	20 – 600°	64.5	-
Potassium	37.25	35 - 2,300 ^b	680.8	35 - 2,300 ^b	480.0	35 - 2,300 ^b
Magnesium	49.3	3 – 1,140 ^b	90.1	81 – 505 ^ь	81.0	81 – 190 ^ь
Iron**	72.3	Nd – 1,400ª	35.8	0.01– 720ª	5.0	4 – 20 ^b
Manganese	19.4	Nd – 115ª	8.5	Nd – 30ª	0.6	0.6 ^b
Cadmium	0.1	Nd – 0.1ª	0.06	Nd – 0.6ª	0.01	-
Copper	0.3	Nd – 0.8 ^a	0.1	Nd – 0.6ª	0.01	-
Chrome	0.4	Nd – 1.2ª	0.1	Nd – 1.0ª	0.01	-
Nickel	0.8	Nd – 6.5ª	0.3	Nd – 1.4 ^a	0.2	-
Zinc	5.0	Nd – 27ª	3.5	Nd – 35ª	1.5	-
Lead	0.7	Nd – 1.1ª	0.4	Nd – 6.7ª	0.2	-

Table 1. Composition of leachate in the acidic, methanogenic, and mature phases.

^a(Souto, 2009); ^b(Pohland & Harper, 1985); ^c(Ehrig, 1983); Nd (Non-detected); **Fe(II); SIM: Value used in the simulation, estimated to ensure the electric balance of the solutions; Reference: range of values, according to literature review. Concentration in mg/L.

The number of PV is calculated in Phreeqc according to Equation 7 (Appelo & Postma, 2005; Parkhust & Appelo, 2013):

$$PV = \frac{(\text{number of shifts + 0.5})}{\text{number of cells}}$$
(7)

In Phreeqc terminology, the number of shifts means how many times the solutions passed through the cells. This software calculates concentrations in the middle of the cells. So, to make the PV correspond to the full length of the layer, 0.5 is added to the numerator of Equation 7.



A flux boundary condition (Cauchy type) was applied to the upper and lower faces of the liner, with a Darcy velocity of 1.9x10⁻⁸ m/s. This value was calculated considering the hydraulic conductivity coefficient and a hydraulic gradient equal to 2, according to the hydraulic conductivity tests performed by Matos (2011), and an effective porosity comprising 15% of the total porosity. The dispersivity was assumed to be 0.01 m (10% of the cell length) as suggested by Appelo and Postma (2005). The diffusion coefficient of calcium was adopted as that of calcium in water (7.93x10⁻¹⁰ m²/s) at 25°C (Yuan-Hui & Gregory, 1974) multiplied by a tortuosity factor of 0.76 (Millington & Quirk, 1961). Chemical interactions with the exchangeable cations present in the soil (Table 2) were also considered in the modeling.

 Table 2. Exchangeable cations in soil (adapted from Matos, 2011)

Exchangeable cations (mEq/L)								
Ca⁺²	Mg ⁺²	K⁺	Na⁺	Al ⁺³				
64.90	0.20	0.06	0.39	0.40				
mEq/L: milliequivalent per liter								

For comparison purposes, the same simulations were performed using water as percolating fluid.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Figure 2 presents the concentration of gypsite in cells 1 and 2 (first 20 cm deep) during the flow of water and leachate. The concentration in other sublayers (cells 3 to 10) are presented in Figure 3 (leachate) and Figure 4 (water).



----- Cell 1 leachate ---- Cell 2 leachate --- Cell 1 water

Figure 2. Concentration of gypsite in cells 1 and 2 (first 20 cm deep)



Figure 3. Concentration of gypsite in cells 2 to 10: leachate flow



Figure 4. Concentration of gypsite in cells 2 to 10: water flow

The initial concentration of gypsite in Figures 2, 3 and 4 is 1.984 mol/L, while the concentration in the soil-PG mixture was 2.012 mol/L (Eq. 2), indicating that 2.8×10^{-2} mol/L of this mineral were dissolved to reach the equilibrium with the pore water in the compacted layer. This value is higher than the solubility of pure gypsite in water (1.571×10⁻² mol/L) and occurs because the cationic exchange process requires an additional amount of gypsite to be dissolved to provide calcium for the exchange with Mg⁺², Na⁺¹, K⁺¹ and Al⁺³ present in the soil-PG mixture.

Figure 2 shows that the top of the layer (cell 1) was the most affected by the flow of water and leachate, and that the gypsite dissolution rate was higher for the simulation carried out with leachate than with water. Due to the high concentration of dissolved ions in the leachate, more chemical species are available to form aqueous complexes and therefore a greater amount of gypsite is dissolved to provide calcium and sulphate for these reactions. This is shown in Figure 5, where it is possible to see that the activity of total calcium and total sulfur is higher than that of $Ca^{+2} e SO_4^{-2}$ ions. This difference occurs because part of calcium and sulfur are available in form of aqueous complexes with other chemical elements or even of other calcium sulphate minerals such as anhydrite (CaSO₄) and bassanite (CaSO₄.0.5H₂O).



Figure 5. Activity of total calcium, total sulfur, Ca⁺² and SO₄⁻² (cell 1)



Figure 6. Dissolution of gypsite per layer

On the other hand, as calcium and sulphate are transported by the advective and diffusive mechanisms, the chemical equilibrium of the layers that receive these elements is shifted toward the formation of

gypsite. This process increases the amount of gypsite initially present in the inner layers of the liner, as shown in Figure 3. When the water is the percolating fluid (Figure 4), the concentration of gypsite in the pores practically does not change with time. The amount of gypsite present in all layers will decrease slowly as the dissolved material is removed by the advective flow.

The dimensionless time (PV) required for the complete dissolution of the gypsite present in the first five cells, which corresponds to 50% of the gypsite available in the liner, is presented in Figure 6. The simulation was carried out for a long-term sequence, comprising 1 PV of solution AC, 20 PV of solution ME and up to 20 PV of solution MA (until gypsite is completely dissolved). These predictions show that 50% of the gypsite present in the liner will be dissolved after 48 PV of leachate percolation, and after 64 PV when percolated by water.

Since the mixture analyzed in this study contains 10% PG or 9.74% gypsite, the dissolution of this mineral will reduce the apparent dry weight of the layers from 1,530 kg/m³ to 1,390 kg/m³, which means that the total porosity will increase from 0.43 to 0.49, i.e., 14%, if the total volume of the layer does not change along the flow. Matos (2011) reported that the soil-PG mixture may reaches hydraulic conductivity coefficient lower than 1×10^{-9} m/s (Matos, 2011) when compacted at the Proctor Standard effort and optimum water content, a value generally acceptable for liners. However, as the gypsite dissolves this value will certainly increase. Furthermore, increased porosity may result in additional, non-predicted settlements, if the consolidation tests do not take into account the dissolution rate of gypsite

4 CONCLUSION

The results showed how the solubility of PG can be affected by the chemical composition of the percolating fluid. In solutions of high ionic strength, such as the leachates commonly found in MSW landfills, the dissolved species tend to form aqueous complexes with other ions available in the pore liquid, which increases the solubility of the solid phase, compared to the solubility reported when the media is surrounded only by water.

The modeling also indicated that 50% of the gypsite initially available the soil-PG layer would dissolve after the percolation of 48 pore volumes of leachate and 64 pore volumes of water, while complete dissolution would result in a 14% increase in total porosity, with possible implications for the hydraulic conductivity and settlements of the layer.

Therefore, solubility must definitely be taken into account for PG to be employed in liners of sanitary landfills or in geotechnical layers where flow occurs. It is also recommended that a model verification be performed by comparing the results of the modeling with data obtained through laboratory tests.

5 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The first author is grateful for the financial support provided by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior - Brasil (CAPES) - Finance Code 001.

REFERENCES

- Alves, C. F. C., & Bertolo, R. A. (2012). GEOQUÍMICA DE ÁGUAS SUBTERRÂNEAS IMPACTADAS POR ATERROS DE RESÍDUOS SÓLIDOS. *Águas subterrâneas (São Paulo, Brazil), 26*(1). https://doi.org/10.14295/ras.v26i1.25951
- Amrani, M., Taha, Y., Kchikach, A., Benzaazoua, M., & Hakkou, R. (2020). Phosphogypsum recycling: New horizons for a more sustainable road material application. *Journal of Building Engineering*, *30*, Article 101267. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jobe.2020.101267
- Appelo, C. A. J., & Postma, D. (2005). *Geochemisty, Groundwater and Pollution* (2nd ed.). A. A. Balkema Publishers.
- Asghari, S., Ghafoori, M., & Tabatabai, S. S. (2018). Changes in chemical composition and engineering properties of gypseous soils through leaching: an example from Mashhad, Iran. *Bulletin of engineering geology and the environment*, 77(1), 165-175. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10064-017-1076-6

- Azam, S. (2000). Collapse and compressibility behaviour of arid calcareous soil formations. *Bulletin of engineering* geology and the environment, 59(3), 211-217. https://doi.org/10.1007/s100640000060
- Borges, Y. I. S. (2019). Uso de solo laterítico, fosfogesso e bentonita em barreiras hidráulicas: uma análise hidromecânica e ambiental (Publication Number D0195G19) Federal University of Goias]. Goiania, GO, Brazil.

BRAZIL. (2019). Mineral Sector Bulletin. Brasilia, Brazil

- Chen, Q., Zhang, Q., Fourie, A., & Xin, C. (2017). Utilization of phosphogypsum and phosphate tailings for cemented paste backfill. *Journal of environmental management*, 201, 19-27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2017.06.027
- de Rezende, L. R., Curado, T. D., Silva, M. V., Mascarenha, M. M. D., Metogo, D. A. N., Neto, M. P. C., & Bernucci, L. L. B. (2017). Laboratory Study of Phosphogypsum, Stabilizers, and Tropical Soil Mixtures. *Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering*, 29(1), Article 04016188. https://doi.org/10.1061/(asce)mt.1943-5533.0001711
- Ehrig, H. J. (1983). Quality and Quantity of Sanitary Landfill Leachate. *Waste management & research*, 1(1), 53-68. https://doi.org/10.1177/0734242X8300100105
- Fannin, C. A., & Roberts, R. D. (2006). Mature landfill waste geochemical characteristics and implications for longterm secondary substance release. *Geochemistry-Exploration Environment Analysis*, 6, 369-377. https://doi.org/10.1144/1467-7873/06-103
- Hull, C. D., & Burnett, W. C. (1996). Radiochemistry of Florida phosphogypsum. Journal of environmental radioactivity, 32(3), 213-238. https://doi.org/10.1016/0265-931X(95)00061-E
- IAEA. (2013). Radiation Protection and Management of NORM Residues in the Phosphate Industry. INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC ENERGY AGENCY.
- Ismael, N. F. (1993). Laboratory and Field Leaching Tests on Coastal Salt-Bearing Soils. Journal of geotechnical engineering, 119(3), 453-470. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9410(1993)119:3(453)
- Jiang, G., Wu, A., Wang, Y., & Lan, W. (2018). Low cost and high efficiency utilization of hemihydrate phosphogypsum: Used as binder to prepare filling material. *Construction & building materials*, *167*, 263-270. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2018.02.022
- Li, B., Wei, S., & Zhen, Y. W. (2020). An effective recycling direction of water-based drilling cuttings and phosphogypsum co-processing in road cushion layer. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 27(14), 17420-17424. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-020-08406-y
- Mascarenha, M. M. A., Neto, M. P. C., Matos, T. H. C., Chagas, J. V. R., & Rezende, L. R. (2018). Effects of the Addition of Dihydrate Phosphogypsum on the Characterization and Mechanical Behavior of Lateritic Clay. *Soils* and Rocks, 41(2), 157-170. https://doi.org/10.28927/sr.412157
- Matos, T. H. C. (2011). Caracterização hidro-mecânica do fosfogesso e das misturas solo-fosfogesso (Publication Number G.DM-197/11) Universidade de Brasília]. Brasília DF, Brasil.
- Millington, R., & Quirk, J. P. (1961). PERMEABILITY OF POROUS SOLIDS. *Transactions of the Faraday Society*, *57*(8), 1200-&. https://doi.org/10.1039/tf9615701200
- Nisti, M. B., Saueia, C. R., Malheiro, L. H., Groppo, G. H., & Mazzilli, B. P. (2015). Lixiviation of natural radionuclides and heavy metals in tropical soils amended with phosphogypsum. *Journal of Environmental Radioactivity*, 144, 120. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvrad.2015.03.013
- Parkhust, D. L., & Appelo, C. A. J. (2013). Description of input and examples for PHREEQC version 3 A computer program for speciation, batch-reaction, one dimensional transport and inverse modeling calculations. In U.S. Geological Survey Techniques and Methods (Vol. 6, pp. 497). U.S. Geological Survey.
- Pohland, F., & Harper, S. (1985). Critical review and summary
- of leachate and gas production from landfills. EPA.
- Pérez-Moreno, S. M., Gázquez, M. J., Pérez-López, R., Vioque, I., & Bolívar, J. P. (2018). Assessment of natural radionuclides mobility in a phosphogypsum disposal area. *Chemosphere (Oxford), 211,* 775-783. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2018.07.193
- Rashad, A. M. (2017). Phosphogypsum as a construction material. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *166*, 732-743. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2017.08.049
- Ribeiro, M. E. S., Mascarenhas, M. M. A., & Santos, T. L. (2018). ESTUDO DE VIABILIDADE TÉCNICA DO FOSFOGESSO HEMIDRATADO PARA APLICAÇÃO EM SISTEMA DE COBERTURA DE ATERROS SANITÁRIOS [Study of the phosphogypsum hemidrate for application in a landfill cover system]. *REEC: Revista Eletrônica de Engenharia Civil, 14*(2). https://doi.org/10.5216/reec.v14i2.51458
- Saadaoui, E., Ghazel, N., Ben Romdhane, C., & Massoudi, N. (2017). Phosphogypsum: potential uses and problems a review. *International Journal of Environmental Studies*, 74(4), 558-567. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207233.2017.1330582

Shackelford, C. D. (1994). Waste-Soil Interactions that Alter Hydraulic Conductivity

Hydraulic Conductivity and Waste Contaminant Transport in Soil (D. Daniel & S. Trautwein, Eds.). ASTM International. https://doi.org/978-0-8031-5259-5

- Silva, M. V., de Rezende, L. R., Mascarenha, M. M. D. A., & de Oliveira, R. B. (2019). Phosphogypsum, tropical soil and cement mixtures for asphalt pavements under wet and dry environmental conditions. *Resources, conservation and recycling*, *144*, 123-136. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2019.01.029
- Souto, G. D. B. (2009). Lixiviado de aterros sanitários brasileiros estudo de remoção de nitrogênio amoniacal por processo de arraste com ar ("stripping") University of São Paulo]. São Carlos, SP.
- Yuan-Hui, L., & Gregory, S. (1974). Diffusion of ions in sea water and in deep- sea sediments. *Geochimica et cosmochimica acta*, 38(5), 703-714. https://doi.org/10.1016/0016-7037(74)90145-8

INTERNATIONAL SOCIETY FOR SOIL MECHANICS AND GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING



This paper was downloaded from the Online Library of the International Society for Soil Mechanics and Geotechnical Engineering (ISSMGE). The library is available here:

https://www.issmge.org/publications/online-library

This is an open-access database that archives thousands of papers published under the Auspices of the ISSMGE and maintained by the Innovation and Development Committee of ISSMGE.

The paper was published in the proceedings of the 9th International Congress on Environmental Geotechnics (9ICEG), Volume 1, and was edited by Tugce Baser, Arvin Farid, Xunchang Fei and Dimitrios Zekkos. The conference was held from June 25th to June 28th 2023 in Chania, Crete, Greece.